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# LIST OF ACRONYMNS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAD:</td>
<td>Banque Africaine de Développement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP:</td>
<td>Gross Domestic Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP:</td>
<td>Gross National Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF:</td>
<td>International Monetary Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINECOFIN:</td>
<td>Ministère de l’Economie et des Finances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI:</td>
<td>Overseas Development Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD:</td>
<td>Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT:</td>
<td>Personal Income Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRA:</td>
<td>Rwanda Revenue Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWF:</td>
<td>Rwandan Francs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAS:</td>
<td>Self Assessment System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMEs:</td>
<td>Small and Medium Enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCMP:</td>
<td>Tax Compliance Measurement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT:</td>
<td>Value Added Tax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax Compliance</td>
<td>Willingness to obey tax laws in order to obtain the economic equilibrium of a country.</td>
<td>Andreoni et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Avoidance</td>
<td>Legal reduction in tax liabilities by practices that take full advantage of the tax code.</td>
<td>James (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Evasion</td>
<td>Illegal and intentional actions taken by individuals to reduce their legally due tax obligations.</td>
<td>James (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Tax</td>
<td>Tax which the burden of tax is borne entirely by the entity that pays it, and cannot be passed on to another entity.</td>
<td>Barjoyai, (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Tax</td>
<td>Indirect tax is typically a charge that is levied on goods and services.</td>
<td>Barjoyai, (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadow Economy</td>
<td>Income generating enterprises that operate on small scale using simple skills and are not tied to any government regulations.</td>
<td>Tax Justice Network Africa, 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ABSTRACT
After 1994 genocide perpetrated against the Tutsi which destroyed the country socially and economically, tax revenues have played a major role in country reconstruction process by financing the national budget up to 49 per cent in the fiscal year 2012/2013 compared to 35 per cent in 1995. Despite this significant share of tax revenue in total government revenues, the tax ratio to Gross Domestic Product is still low amounting to 12.5 per cent in 2012/2013, compared to the average of 18 per cent in some developing countries. In addition to this low tax to Gross Domestic Product ratio, the informal sector which accounts for 44 per cent of Gross Domestic Product, also contributes to government revenue losses. The low ratio of tax revenues to Gross Domestic Product is explained under self assessment system by economic and non economic factors. Therefore; the objective of this study was to analyze the factors affecting tax compliance in Rwanda. This study employed diagnostic research design to investigate associationship among study variables. The research has relied on primary data collected using questionnaires and secondary data collected from National Accounts Reports and revenue collections performance reports done by Rwanda Revenue Authority. The population of the study was individuals registered to Personal Income Tax and informal sector business operators. The sample under study was made up by 793 respondents. To achieve the above objectives, the study used a univariate regression and Multinomial Logistic Regression models to evaluate the relationship among the variables. To estimate the tax gap, tax revenues were regressed on Gross Domestic Product and the study covered a period from 1995 to 2012. EVIEWS 6 and SPSS 20 softwares were used to analyze the data. The results indicated that tax revenue has a positive relationship with Gross Domestic Product, and there was tax gap for each and every tax year. The regression results indicated that the model is a good fit, meaning that the Gross Domestic Product in the model account for the variation in tax revenue. The variables were cointegrated; implying that there was a long-run relationship among them. The study also revealed that the level of income, compliance costs, penalty rate, attitudes towards taxes, equity and fairness of the tax system and social norms are statistically significant to affect tax compliance levels in formal sector. For informal sector, only, attitude towards taxes and perception of government spending were found statistically significant to influence tax compliance behavior. The coefficients of tax audit rate, audit probabilities, tax knowledge, were found statistically insignificant. The study recommended: first, further simplification of compliance process by reducing compliance costs. Secondly, the review of penalty rates and introduction of new techniques of enforcing compliance like tax amnesty. Thirdly, enforcing tax morale among citizens, and fourthly, Tax authorities should avoid unreasonable, intrusive tax audits and unfair penalties because this may lead to negative attitudes towards the tax office and taxes in general.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
# Declaration

## Dedication

## List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

## Operational Definitions of Terms

## Abstract

## List of Tables

## List of Figures

## Acknowledgements

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1. Introduction

1.2. Background to the Study

1.3. Statement of the Problem

1.4. Objectives of the Study

1.5. Hypotheses of the Study

1.6. Significance of the Study

1.7. Scope of the Study

### CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Introduction

2.2. The Concept of Tax Compliance

2.3. Self Assessment System and Compliance

2.4. Theoretical Background of the Study

2.5. Economic Factors

2.6. Non Economic Factors
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction..................................................................................................................34
3.2 Research design...........................................................................................................34
3.3 The Study Area............................................................................................................34
3.4. Target Population.......................................................................................................35
3.5. Sampling Procedure..................................................................................................35
3.6. Sample size................................................................................................................35
3.7 Research Instruments..................................................................................................36
3.8 Model specification......................................................................................................37
3.9. Data Analysis Techniques..........................................................................................39
3.10. Ethical Considerations..............................................................................................43
3.11 Summary....................................................................................................................43

CHAPTER FOUR: EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Introduction..................................................................................................................44
4.2. Presentation of secondary data...................................................................................44
4.3. Characteristics of respondents from formal sector in the survey and response rates......44
   4.3.1 Gender of respondents..........................................................................................45
   4.3.2. Level of Education of Respondents.......................................................................45
   4.3.3. Age Group of Respondents..................................................................................46
4.4. Characteristics of respondents surveyed from informal sector and response rate........47
4.4.1. Gender of Respondents.................................................................47
4.4.2. Level of Education of Respondents...........................................48
4.4.3. Age of Respondents..................................................................49
4.5. Analysis of responses provided to different study questions........49
  4.5.1. Formal Sector business operators.............................................49
  4.5.2. Informal Sector business operators...........................................52
4.6. Regression Results......................................................................55
4.7. Data Analysis.............................................................................58

CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATION

  5.1. Introduction..............................................................................86
  5.2. Summary of the Study Findings..................................................86
  5.3 Conclusion.................................................................................93
  5.4. Recommendations.....................................................................94
  5.5. Suggestions of Further Research.............................................96

REFERENCES..................................................................................97

APPENDICES.................................................................................101

LIST OF TABLES
Table 4.1: Gender of the respondents............................................45
Table 4.2: Education level of the respondents................................45
Table 4.3: Age group of the respondents.................................................................46
Table 4.4: Gender of the respondents......................................................................47
Table 4.5: Education level of the respondents..........................................................48
Table 4.6: Age of respondents...................................................................................49
Table 4.7: Characteristics of time series data............................................................56
Table 4.8: Tax Gap Analysis from 1995 to 2012.........................................................63
Table 4.9: Model Fitting Information.......................................................................65
Table 4.10: Classification Accuracy Rate.................................................................66
Table 4.11: Likelihood Ratio Tests..........................................................................67
Table 4.12: Parameter Estimates............................................................................70
Table 4.13: Model Fitting Information....................................................................76
Table 4.14: Goodness-of-Fit....................................................................................77
Table 4.15: Classification Accuracy Rate.................................................................77
Table 4.16: Likelihood Ratio Tests..........................................................................78
Table 4.17: Parameter Estimates............................................................................81
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
This thesis would not have been possible without constant support from my employer, Rwanda Revenue Authority in granting me a study leave at Moi University, and substantial financial
assistance in data collection process. I am heartily thankful to the Commissioner General, and entire Senior Management Team for their advice and encouragement throughout my study. My special thanks are due to my supervisors, Dr. Mark Korir and Dr. Vincent Ng’eno, for their encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level of this thesis. Sincere thanks to Moi University lecturers who made it possible for me to gain the wealth of knowledge from the course work. I also wish to thank the Ministry of Education of Rwanda who granted to me the research permit No: MINEDUC/S&T/0174/2013, which facilitated me to collect data from study area. My humble thanks go to Mrs. Agnes Kanyangyeyo, the Deputy Commissioner in Charge of Planning and Research in RRA, for technical advice in data collection and analysis process. Lastly, my deepest gratitude is to Private Sector Federation Chairpersons of different Districts where the data have been collected from, for their assistance and advice during the process. To the abovementioned people and to those who have not been mentioned, your sacrifice and encouragement are greatly appreciated and will always be in my memory.