

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352247085>

Preterm Neonatal Sepsis: Associated Risk Factors and Microbial Profiles at Kitale County Hospital New-born Unit, Western Kenya

Article · May 2021

DOI: 10.7176/JHMN/89-06

CITATION

1

READS

306

3 authors:



Ewerylyne Pauline Kweyu
Kisii University

2 PUBLICATIONS 1 CITATION

SEE PROFILE



Eric Omori Omwenga
Kisii University

46 PUBLICATIONS 215 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Geoffrey Kattam Maiyoh
Moi University

28 PUBLICATIONS 464 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Preterm Neonatal Sepsis: Associated Risk Factors and Microbial Profiles at Kitale County Hospital New-born Unit, Western Kenya

Everlyne P. Kweyu^{1*} Eric Omori Omwenga² Geoffrey K. Maiyoh³

1.Department of Applied Health Sciences, School of Health Sciences, Kisii University. P.O. BOX 408-40200, Kisii, Kenya

2.Department of Medical Microbiology & Parasitology, School of Medicine, Kisii University. P.O. BOX 408-40200, Kisii, Kenya

3.Department of Biochemistry and Clinical Chemistry. School of Medicine, Moi University, P.O. BOX 4606-30100 Eldoret, Kenya

Abstract

Neonatal sepsis is a major concern with maternal and neonatal risk factors greatly being associated with development of neonatal sepsis. In this study, we sort to determine the associated risk factors and microbial profiles at Kitale County Hospital (KCH) new-born unit in Western Kenya. Data was collected from 181 eligible preterm neonates and cultured using standard protocols. A prevalence of 22.7% was found with majority of Gram positive 35 (85.4%) while Gram negative were 6 (14.6%). Coagulase Negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) were 31 (75.6%) with *Staphylococcus epidermidis* 19 (46.3%) being the majority. Mode of delivery, Prolonged Rupture of Membranes (PROM), foetal distress, low birth weight and poor breast feeding were major risk factors associated with neonatal sepsis at KCH. There is therefore need to assess the correlation between the specific maternal and neonatal risk factors with common circulating bacterial profiles at KCH new born unit.

Keywords: Preterm neonate, Neonatal sepsis, New-born unit, Microbial profiles and characteristics. Western Kenya.

DOI: 10.7176/JHMN/89-06

Publication date: May 31st 2021

1. Introduction

Globally approximately 15 million premature infants are born yearly however, majority of these babies die shortly after birth due to Neonatal Sepsis (NS). Some may suffer from some type of lifelong physical, neurological, or educational impairment, which generates high costs in terms of their management. Incidence is highest in neonates and children which accounts to 47% of total infant deaths among the under-five due to preterm related complications (Howson *et al.*, 2014; UNICEF, 2020). Neonatal sepsis (NS) is defined as an infant (< 90 days) blood infection with systematic signs and symptoms of septicaemia in the first one month of life (Nathan, 2019; Masanja *et al.*, 2019).

Neonatal Sepsis (NS) is characterized by such symptoms like respiratory distress, septicaemia, pneumonia, and meningitis (Nathan, 2019). It is more common in premature infants with a low birth weight (Stoll *et al.*, 2010). Low birth weight, low gestational age and parenteral nutrition are the common risk factors associated with the increased severity of the two syndromes hence need of invasive monitoring and supportive care (Adams-Chapman *et al.*, 2008). These risk factors are prominent with the premature infant and involve all level of host defence, including cellular, humoral, barrier function etc. (Groer *et al.*, 2015). These new-borns require long hospitalization periods and are subjected to several treatments and invasive procedures that put them at a higher risk of acquiring infection.

Factors such as neonate age, birth asphyxia, Prolonged Rupture of Membranes (PROM), preterm births and infections have been documented to be the main causes of neonatal sepsis among the preterm neonates (UNICEF, 2020). Maternal risk factors like mode of delivery makes a neonate more susceptible to early onset sepsis, due to their potential risk for complications to the neonate and the mother resulting to infections (Murthy *et al.*, 2019; Nyma, 2020). While neonatal risk factors such as neonatal age and resuscitation at birth, were found to contribute to occurrence of neonatal sepsis as the neonate's immune system is compromised leading to acquisition of infections. These factors were found to have a strong association on the development of neonatal sepsis (Adatara *et al.*, 2019).

Neonatal sepsis has been documented to be caused by various bacterial, viral and fungal pathogens depending on different times and regions (Dolin *et al.*, 2019). Among the bacterial pathogens that have been commonly associated with neonatal sepsis include *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, Coagulase Negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS), *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactiae* (Guo *et al.*, 2019). Fungal pathogens, commonly *Candida* species like *Candida albicans*, *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida krusei* have also been associated with late-onset sepsis due to maternal fungal colonization and vaginal route of delivery (Shane *et al.*, 2017; Ahmed *et al.*, 2019). While viral pathogens such as herpes simplex viruses, enteroviruses and parechoviruses have been associated with sepsis in neonates (Singh, 2020). Among the bacterial isolates

Gram positive pathogens have been documented as the leading causes of neonatal sepsis with obstetric factors being highly associated with the development of neonatal sepsis. However, in some studies the prevalence of NS causing bacteria have been documented to be high with Coagulase Negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) being the majority from all the isolated pathogens (Sorsa, 2019).

Globally about 47% of neonatal deaths occur due to preterm and related complications (UNICEF 2020). The case fatality risk associated with severe bacterial infections in infants in the first month of life is 9.8 % in Sub-Saharan Africa, South and Latin America (Seale *et al.*, 2014). Incidence of culture proven early-onset sepsis in the United States is approximately 0.3-2 per 1000 live births (Nathan, 2019). Africa countries have also not been left behind as they have documented high prevalence/incidence rates. For instance, in East Africa, prevalence of neonatal sepsis from various studies has been documented with estimates ranging from 4.7% to 77.9% (Berkley *et al.*, 2005; Gebrehiwot *et al.*, 2012). For instance, in one study carried out in Ethiopia, the prevalence of neonatal sepsis among 351 neonates admitted in NICU Arbaminch general hospital Southern Ethiopia was 78.3% (Erkihun *et al.*, 2019). In Kenya neonatal mortality rate by 2019 was at 21 deaths per 1000 livebirths (UNICEF, 2020) while prevalence of sepsis was estimated to be 28.6% at the new born unit of Kenyatta National hospital in central Kenya (Geyt & Hauck, 2016; Okube & Komen, 2020).

Antimicrobial agents offer recommendable reduction of NS. Reports on emergence of drug and multi-drug (MDR) resistant bacteria strains causing neonatal sepsis are on the increase globally. Effective antimicrobial agents against the common pathogens causing neonatal sepsis are available like intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis, which are able to improve the immune system by preventing and also treating neonatal sepsis. However, these measures are not documented in the guidelines for the management of neonatal sepsis (Esposito & Principi., 2019). Few Studies on NS are available in African continent which places need for more local data generation to inform policy and practice on the early management and treatment of neonatal sepsis. This study therefore, sought to find out associated risk factors and microbial profiles among preterms in new-born unit in Trans Nzoia County in Western Kenya.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study ethical approvals

This study was approved by the instructional research and ethical committee of MU/MTRH (FAN: IREC 3174) on 6th December 2018, The National Commission for Science, Technology and Innovation (NACOSTI/P/19/80813/27724 on 29th January, 2019). Additional approvals were obtained from the Ministry of Interior and Coordination of National Government (TNZC/CONF/ED.12/2/VOL.II/107 on 27th March, 2019), Ministry of Education Science and Technology State Department of early learning and basic Education (TNZ/CNT/CDE/R.GEN/1/VOL.II/14 on 27 March 2019) and from County Government of Trans Nzoia, State Department of Health (CGTN/HS/COHCS/2018 on 17th April, 2019). Confidentiality of information obtained from the mother was maintained.

2.2 Study site

The study was conducted in Trans Nzoia county at Kitale County Hospital (KCH) New-born Unit (NBU). Trans Nzoia county is located in Western part of Kenya, it borders Uganda to the North West and approximately 397 km from Nairobi (See Figure 1).



Figure 1: Map of Kenya showing location of Trans Nzoia County and Kitale (Modified from: (<https://lands.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/The-map-of-Kenya.pdf>))

2.3. Study population

i. Inclusion criteria

Preterm neonates with a gestation age of less than 36 weeks presenting with signs and symptoms of neonatal sepsis (NS), admitted in the new born unit at KCH during the study period were included to this study. NS was determined based on criteria by Lutsar *et al.*, 2014 and included the presence of at least 3 out of the following four presentations:

- Presence of risk factors of sepsis e. g. prematurity and chorioamnionitis.
- Presence of two or more clinical signs of sepsis such as poor reflexes, lethargy, respiratory distress, bradycardia, apnea, convulsions.
- Low haemoglobin (haematocrit) levels.
- Abnormal core temperature ($>38^{\circ}\text{C}$).

ii. Exclusion criteria

Preterm neonates whose mothers did not give consent for their participation and those who were on antibiotic treatment were excluded from the study.

2.4 Sample size calculation

A prevalence rate of 13% bacteraemia was used to calculate the sample size in accordance to the findings of a study conducted at Kilifi District Hospital, Kenya (Berkley *et al.*, 2005). Sample size was determined using Cochran's formula of 1997 (Cochran, 2007) giving a 181 as the minimum number of participants required in the study.

2.5 Study design

Descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted between May 2018 to June 2020. A total of 181 mothers consented into the study and were interviewed using well-structured questionnaires to obtain data on demography and medical history. Blood samples were drawn for microbial and biochemical laboratory analysis.

2.6 Laboratory analyses

2.6.1 Blood collection

Blood sample (3ml) was collected aseptically in triplicates and inoculated into diphasic blood culture bottle (Himedia-India) and labelled with study number, date and time of sample collection. The samples were sent immediately to the laboratory for culture.

2.6.2 Bioassays

i. Blood culturing

Blood culturing was done on the blood samples obtained from the children using established protocols as applied before (Wayne, 2007). Briefly, the collected blood samples were immediately cultured in diphasic blood culture bottles (prepared as per the manufacturer's instructions) using continuously blood cultured method, incubated at 37°C and monitored daily for any changes (Haemolysis, Clotting and turbidity). Positive blood cultures were sub-cultured on 5% sheep blood agar and chocolate blood agar and were incubated aerobically and anaerobically at 37°C for 18 hours.

ii. Gram staining

Gram staining was performed on positive blood cultures for identification of pathogens using established protocols as used before (Behera *et al.*, 2010; Hussein, 2016). A bacterial suspension was prepared from 1-2 bacterial colonies and used to prepare a smear on a microscope slide using a sterile inoculating loop before staining. *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) and *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) were used as positive control and negative control respectively.

iii. Biochemical tests

Identification of pathogens of interest causing neonatal sepsis was done using various biochemical tests in triplicates.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Descriptive and inferential statistics were performed on the data. Fisher's exact test and odds ratio (OR) analysis was used to test the distribution differences in variables between maternal and neonatal factors and neonatal sepsis (positive blood culture) at the significance level of $P < 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics v21.

2.8. Ethical approvals

This study was approved by the instructional research and ethical committee of MU/MTRH (FAN: IREC 3174) on 6th December 2018, The National Commission for Science, Technology and Innovation (NACOSTI/P/19/80813/27724 on 29th January, 2019). Additional approvals were obtained from the Ministry of

Interior and Coordination of National Government (TNZC/CONF/ED.12/2/VOL.II/107 on 27th March, 2019), Ministry of Education Science and Technology State Department of early learning and basic Education (TNZ/CNT/CDE/R.GEN/1/VOL.II/14 on 27 March 2019) and from County Government of Trans Nzoia, State Department of Health (CGTN/HS/COHCS/2018 on 17th April, 2019). Confidentiality of information obtained from the mother/ guardian was maintained.

3. Results

3.1 Demographic characteristics

Majority of the neonates were female 107 (59.1%) while 74 (40.9%) were male. Their age ranged from ≤ 24 hours to ten-day olds with a mean age of 2.48 ± 1.899 days. Their mothers' gestation age ranged from 30 to 36 completed weeks with a mean of 34.10 ± 1.74 . The neonates' body temperature ranged from 38.0 to 39.6°C with a mean of 38.6 ± 0.3 °C, their birth weight mean was 2142 ± 482.01 grams while their first day of presentation with signs of sepsis had a mean age 2.3 ± 1.9 days. The summary of demographic findings are as summarized in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1: Neonatal demographics

Variables	Frequency (N=181)	Percent (%)	Mean	SD
a. Gender				
i. Male	74	40.9		
ii. Female	107	59.1		
b. Age of the neonates (days)			2.48	± 1.899
c. Gestational age at delivery (weeks)			34.10	± 1.74
d. Body temperature (°C)			38.6	± 0.3
e. Birth weight (Grams)			2142	± 482.01
f. Age (in day) of first presentation with sepsis			2.35	± 1.9

SD- Standard Deviation

3.2. Antenatal History

This study also found out mothers' past antenatal medical history and the findings are as summarized in Table 3.2 below. Majority of the mothers 149 (82%) had spontaneous virginal delivery (SVD) compared to 32 (18%) caesarean section (CS) mode. On the other hand, four (4) mothers accounting for 2.2% had a history of unspecified urinary tract infection during pregnancy while those with any type of illness in pregnancy were 28 (15.5%). Two (2) mothers tested positive for KHAN test while 4 experienced discharge during pregnancy. A further 8 (4.4%) had premature rapture of membranes.

Table 3.2: Mother's past medical history (antenatal history)

Variables	Frequency (N=181)	Percent (%)
a. Mode of delivery		
i. Spontaneous virginal delivery (SVD)	149	82
ii. Caesarean Section (CS)	32	18
b. Unspecified urinary tract infection	4	2.2
c. Any illness in pregnancy	28	15.5
d. Khan positive	2	1.1
e. Any discharge during pregnancy	4	2.2
f. Premature rapture of membranes	8	4.4

3.3. Risk factors associated with occurrence of neonatal sepsis among preterm neonates

The maternal risk factors associated with the occurrence of neonatal sepsis among the 41 preterm neonates who had laboratory confirmed neonatal sepsis were studied and the findings are summarized in **Table 3.3**. Illness in pregnancy and premature rapture of membranes during delivery were not statistically significant at $p=0.259$, $CI=0.243-1.495$ and ($p=0.358$, $CI=0.050-3.134$) respectively. Spontaneous virginal and caesarean section modes of delivery had $OR=1.101$, $\chi^2=0.046$, $CI=0.457-2.650$ $p=0.830$ while prolonged rapture of membranes appeared to be associated with development of neonatal sepsis however did not reach statistical significance ($p=0.900$). Urinary tract infection (UTI) and maternal antibiotics taken both had no association with development of preterm neonatal sepsis (see **Table 3.3**).

Table 3.3: Association between maternal factors and development of neonatal sepsis

Factors	Neonatal sepsis (N = 41)					
	n	Percent (%)	OR	Chi-square	95% CI	P-value
a. Mode of delivery				$\chi^2 =$		p =
i. SVD	34	82.9	1.101	=0.046	0.457-2.650	0.830
ii. C-Section	7	17.1				
b. Illness in pregnancy	5	12.2	0.602	$\chi^2 =$	0.243-1.495	p =
i. Khan Test	0	0				
ii. Discharge during pregnancy	1	2.4	0.924	$\chi^2 =$	0.098-8.667	p =
iii. UTI In pregnancy	1	2.4	0.693	$\chi^2 =$	0.079-6.045	p =
c. Prolonged of rapture of membrane>18hrs	2	4.9	1.108	$\chi^2 =$	0.222-5.524	p =
d. Premature rapture of membrane	1	2.4	0.396	$\chi^2 =$	0.050-3.134	p =
e. Maternal antibiotics taken	1	2.4	0.693	$\chi^2 =$	0.079-6.045	p =

OR: Odds Ratio. p: Statistically significant($p < 0.05$), CI: Confidence Interval

The neonatal risk factors associated with the occurrence of neonatal sepsis were also determined by this study as summarized in **Table 3.4** below. Foetal distress was strongly associated and statistically significant with development of neonatal sepsis with OR=2.244, $\chi^2 = 5.633$, CI=1.143-4.407($p = 0.018$). Low birth weight was also linked to development of neonatal sepsis OR=1.867, $\chi^2 = 1.691$, CI=0.721-4.834 ($p = 0.194$) and those preterm neonates who were not breast feeding well were highly likely to develop neonatal sepsis OR=1.688, $\chi^2 = 1.338$, CI=0.691-4.123 ($p = 0.247$).

Table 3.4: Association between neonatal factors and development of neonatal sepsis

Variable	Neonatal sepsis (N =41)			Chi-square	95% CI	P-value
	n	Percent (%)	OR			
a. Birth weight			1.867	$\chi^2 = 1.691$	0.721-4.834	p = 0.193
i. Normal	36	87.8				
ii. Low Birth weight	5	12.2				
iii. Central Line	1	2.4	1.008	$\chi^2 = 0.365$	0.993-1.023	p = 0.574
iv. Breast feeding	41	100				
v. Parenteral nutrition	0	0				
b. Foetal distress	24	58.5	2.244	$\chi^2 = 5.633$	1.143-4.407	p = 0.018*
c. NOT Breast feeding well	9	21.9	1.688	$\chi^2 = 1.338$	0.691-4.123	p = 0.247

OR: Odds Ratio. *: Statistically significant($p < 0.05$), CI: Confidence Interval

3.4 Microbial profiles of isolates associated with development of neonatal sepsis among preterms.

i. Culturing findings

From the 181 blood cultures that were incubated at 37° C up to 14 days, 41 (22.7%) turned positive by showing the presence of either haemolysis, clotting and agglutination. On further subculturing on 5% sheep blood agar and purity plating on Mueller Hinton, 41 (22.7%) bacteria were isolated as shown in **Table 3.5** below. The isolated bacteria demonstrated either Beta (complete) haemolysis, Gamma (no haemolysis) and Alpha (partial haemolysis) on sheep blood agar. Gram staining and various biochemical tests were further performed to identify the species of interest.

ii. Gram staining findings

This was also performed on all the positive cultures 41(100%) to identify the isolated bacteria. The bacteria were separated into two groups, Gram positive cocci 35 (85.4 %) and they did present themselves as purple in colour while the Gram-negative rods 6 (14.6%) did present themselves as pink in colour as shown in **Table 3.5** below.

Table 3.5: Culture and Gram staining

Variables	Frequency	
	(n=181)	Percent (%)
a. Blood culture	181	100
i. Blood culture positive (Haemolysis /Clotting / Turbidity)	41	22.7
ii. Sub culture and purity plating	41	22.7
b. Gram staining (n=41)	41	100
i. Gram positive	35	85.4
ii. Gram negative	6	14.6

Table 3.6 describes the distribution profiles of bacteria that were isolated, Gram positive bacteria were 35 (85.4%) majority comprised of Coagulase Negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) 31 (75.6%). Among the CoNS, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* were 19 (46.3%), *Staphylococcus hemolyticus* 5 (12.2%), *Staphylococcus hominis*, *Staphylococcus lentus* and *Staphylococcus warneri* all were 2 isolates each (4.9%), *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* one (2.4%), *Enterococcus ssp* 3 (7.3%) while *Staphylococcus aureus* was one (2.4%). Gram negative bacteria 6 (14.6%) comprised of *Salmonella spp* 3 (7.6%) while *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter spp* had one isolate each (2.4%).

Table 3.6: Distribution of microbial profiles

Profile of isolates	n	Isolates N= 41	
		Percent (%)	
i. Gram positive N = 35 (85.4%)			
• <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	1	2.4	
• <i>Enterococcus ssp</i>	3	7.3	
• Coagulase negative <i>Staphylococcus</i> (CoNS) N = 31 (75.6%)			
- <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	19	46.3	
- <i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i>	5	12.2	
- <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i>	2	4.9	
- <i>Staphylococcus lentus</i>	2	4.9	
- <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>	1	2.4	
- <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>	2	4.9	
ii. Gram negative N = 6 (14.6%)			
• <i>Escherichia coli</i>	1	2.4	
• <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1	2.4	
• <i>Acinetobacter spp</i>	1	2.4	
• <i>Salmonella spp</i>	3	7.3	
Total	41	99.8	

4. Discussion

Child birth is a natural process after pregnancy has been carried to term, due to many physiological and environmental factors the neonate may be delivered before term (WHO., 2019). Many factors (maternal and neonatal) predispose the neonate to blood bacterial infection. For instance, it has been documented that environmental factors such as hospital acquired infections may contribute significantly to the development of neonatal sepsis (Spearman *et al.*, 2015).

From this study 181 blood samples for culture were analysed and 41 were confirmed culture positive for neonatal sepsis giving a prevalence of 22.7%. Globally sepsis is the major cause of neonatal morbidity and mortality responsible for 30-50% of the total number of neonatal deaths in a year among developing countries (Aijaz, 2012). This finding may be attributed to various factors among them is poor health care practice/management in both maternal and neonatal care or by environmental factors. Similar studies have been done in Kenya, for instance a study done at Kisii level five hospital (Muturi *et al.*, 2018) found out a prevalence of 19.7% and at Kenyatta National Hospital a prevalence of 28.6% was reported (Geyt & Hauck, 2016; Okube & Komen, 2020). Both of these studies did indicate that maternal, neonatal and environmental factors were responsible for such prevalence. However, in another study done at NICU Arbaminch General Hospital South of Ethiopia contrasted our findings as prevalence of 78.3% was reported and was attributed to maternal and neonatal health related factors (Erkihun *et al.*, 2019). Such differences in prevalence could be there globally could be due to geographical locations, different maternal and neonatal healthcare practices, policies and management.

Maternal factors under study were found out that mode of delivery; spontaneous vaginal delivery (SVD) and Caesarean section (C-Section) with odds of 1.101 had a relationship with the development of neonatal sepsis although the association was not statistically significant. SVD may have attributed to development of neonatal

sepsis due to exposure to virginal and faecal bacteria as reported in a study carried out in Ghana at a specialist hospital (Adatara., 2019), while C-section, preterm neonates may have been exposed due to invasive surgery, environmental factors and extended hospital stay (Bager, *et al*, 2010; Adatara., 2019). These findings do not concur with a study done in Ghana where mode of delivery was not associated with development of neonatal sepsis (Siakwa *et al.*, 2014). Prolonged rupture of membranes (PROM) greater than 18 hours with odds of 1.108 was associated with neonatal sepsis, these could be due to chorioamnionitis (infection of membranes that surround the foetus). This finding is similar to findings of a study done in north India, which demonstrated a relationship between chorioamnionitis and PROM (Gupta, 2019).

Among the neonatal factors foetal distress was a single but most neonatal risk factor strongly linked to neonatal sepsis with OR of 2.244 with statistical significance of $p=0.0018$. Being the uncommon complication of labor where the fetus had breathing challenges and resuscitation urgently needed, contamination of equipment used could play a major role. This finding is similar to a study which found out that neonatal sepsis was associated with pneumonia like respiratory distress (Ghafoor *et al.*, 2020).

Low birth weight was linked to development of NS with OR-1.867 with statistical significance of $p=0.193$. This may be an indicator of ill health and may require prompt attention and clinical management as it has been documented to predispose neonates to infections (Softić, 2017). This finding is similar to one documented in a study done in South Africa where low birth weight was an important risk factor as it was attributed to development of neonatal sepsis in preterms (Schrag *et al.*, 2012).

Breast feeding is important for optimal growth and development; it protects neonates against septicaemia by providing defence factors (IgA antibodies) as described by (Gopalakrishna & Hand 2020). Neonates who were not breast feeding well (OR=1.688) had high likelihood of developing sepsis. This could be attributed to array of possible other neonatal intrinsic factors like low birth weight, illness or alternative feeding contamination. However, these findings do not concur with those by Mugadza *et al*, (2017) who found out that early and correct breast-feeding initiation was associated with development of neonatal sepsis. Good maternal and neonatal health care practices and adherence to guidelines by health professionals may reduce the risk of developing sepsis.

Isolated bacterial profiles found to be responsible were predominantly Gram positive 35 (85.4%) followed by Gram negative 6 (14.6%). Our findings do concur with various studies that have indicated that NS in preterm neonates is commonly induced by Gram Positive bacteria as compared to Gram negative (El-Din *et al.*, 2015; Thapa & Sapkota, 2019). However, these findings differ from those obtained in a study done by Ghafoor *et al.*, (2020) which did report that majority of isolates were Gram negative 44 (57.9%) with *Escherichia coli* (13.1%) being the most predominant isolate followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (11.8%), *Acinetobacter* spp (10.5%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (7.9%), *Enterobacter* spp (5.3%), *Serratia* spp (5.3%), and lastly *Proteus* spp (3.9%). Gram positive isolates were 32 (42.1%), it's worth to note that such findings may differ from site to site due to different niche characteristics and cleanliness amongst other factors.

Coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) were the majority among the Gram positive isolates 31(75.6%), this may be due to their ubiquitous nature of being found on human skin and being a leading cause of nosocomial infection (El-Din *et al.*, 2015). It concurs with a study done in Egypt which found out CoNS as majority of isolates (El-Din *et al.*, 2015). Among the CoNS, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolates were found to be the majority 19 (46.3%), this may be attributed to their ability to form biofilms hence persisting in the environment and also their ability to resist a number of antimicrobial agents as documented in various studies (Seng *et al.*, 2017; Sheikh *et al.*, 2019). These findings are similar to those documented in a study done by Grace & Obaro, (2019) in a systemic review of journals from randomly selected countries China, India, Kenya, Sweden, Nigeria, France, Zambia which also documented *Staphylococcus epidermidis* as the predominant isolates. Antibiotic resistance is correlated to biofilm formation among Coagulase Negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) especially in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (Sheikh *et al.*, 2019). Biofilm formation has been documented to be the main virulence determinant among the bacteria responsible for NS, it occurs especially in invasive procedures that are involved in management of neonatal sepsis (Le *et al.*, 2018). This has resulted to antimicrobial resistance as bacteria are able to persist in environment for long due to presence of resistant genes in the biofilms, hence prolonging treatment (Sheikh *et al.*, 2019).

5. Conclusions and recommendation

The prevalence of NS at KCH was determined to be at 22.7% among preterm neonates with both maternal factors including mode of delivery, Prolonged Rupture of Membranes (PROM) and neonatal factors including foetal distress, low birth weight and poor breast feeding being the major risk factors. On the profiles of organisms causing neonatal sepsis, Gram positive isolates were the majority comprising of CoNS with *Staphylococcus epidermidis* being the majority. There is need to assess the correlation between the specific maternal and neonatal risk factors and common circulating bacterial profiles at Kitale County Hospital New Born Unit.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the study participants, Kitale County Hospital management, Kitale County Hospital new-born unit Staff and Kitale County Hospital Laboratory Microbiology and Molecular biology staff; Godfrey Sande, Warda Hussein Mohammed, and Fredrick Mutai.

Author's Contribution

EPK, EOO and GKM were involved in the conception and design of the study. EPK supervised interviews. EPK performed laboratory tests and analysis, EPK analysed the data and prepared the manuscript. EOO and GKM provided guidance and mentorship during the implementation of the study. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript. EPK takes the first authorship responsibilities.

References

- Adams-Chapman I, Hansen NI, Stoll BJ, et al. NICHD Research Network. Neurodevelopmental outcome of ELBW infants with posthemorrhagic hydrocephalus requiring shunt insertion. *Pediatrics*. 2008; 121 :e1167–77.
- Adataro, P., Afaya, A., Salia, S. M., Afaya, R. A., Konlan, K. D., Agyabeng-Fandoh, E., . . . Boahene, I. G. (2019). Risk Factors Associated with Neonatal Sepsis: A Case Study at a Specialist Hospital in Ghana. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2019, 1-8. doi:10.1155/2019/9369051
- Ahmed, S., Mokhtar, E., El-Kholy, I., Essawy, A. E., El-Din, A., & Shetaia, Y. (2019). Fungal neonatal and infantile sepsis in Egypt: Risk factors and identification of fungal isolates. *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology*, 21(1), 14. doi:10.4314/ajcem.v21i1.2
- Aijaz, N., Huda, N., & Kausar, S. (2012). Disease Burden of NICU, at a Tertiary Care Hospital, Karachi. *Journal of the Dow University of Health Sciences (JDUHS)*, 6(1), 32-35. Retrieved from <http://jduhs.com/index.php/jduhs/article/view/32>
- Bager, P., Simeonsen, J., Ethelberg, S., & Frisch, M. (2010). Cesarean Delivery and Risk of Intestinal Bacterial Infection. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 201(6), 898-902. doi:10.1086/650998
- Behera B, Mathur P, Gupta B.. Blood culture gram stain, acridine orange stain and direct sensitivity-based antimicrobial therapy of bloodstream infection in patients with trauma. *Indian J Med Microbiol*. 2010;28(2):138–142. 10.4103/0255-0857.62491
- Berkley, J. A., Lowe, B. S., Mwangi, I., Williams, T., Bauni, E., Mwarumba, S., . . . Scott, J. A. (2005). Bacteremia among Children Admitted to a Rural Hospital in Kenya. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 352(1), 39-47. doi:10.1056/nejmoa040275
- Born too soon. (2019). Retrieved January 26, 2021, from https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/born_too_soon/en/
- Camacho-Gonzalez A., Spearman P.W. & Stoll B.J. (2013). *Neonatal infectious diseases:evaluation of neonatal sepsis*. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 60(2):367-89
- Cochran, W. (2007). *Sampling Techniques*, 3Rd Edition. Retrieved January 26, 2021, from https://books.google.com/books/about/Sampling_Techniques_3Rd_Edition.html?id=xbNn41DUrNwC
- Dolin, H. H., Papadimos, T. J., Chen, X., & Pan, Z. K. (2019). Characterization of Pathogenic Sepsis Etiologies and Patient Profiles: A Novel Approach to Triage and Treatment. *Microbiology Insights*, 12, 117863611882508. doi:10.1177/1178636118825081
- El-Din, E. M., El-Sokkary, M. M., Bassiouny, M. R., & Hassan, R. (2015). Epidemiology of Neonatal Sepsis and Implicated Pathogens: A Study from Egypt. *BioMed Research International*, 2015, 1-11. doi:10.1155/2015/509484
- Erkihun, K., Mesfin, M., Direslegn, M., Sultan, H., & Negussie, B. (2019). Determinants of neonatal sepsis among neonates admitted in a neonatal intensive care unit at Jinka General Hospital, Southern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Nursing and Midwifery*, 11(3), 18-24. doi:10.5897/ijnm2018.0335
- Esposito, S., & Principi, N. (2019). Adjunctive therapy to treat neonatal sepsis. *Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology*, 13(1), 65-73. doi:10.1080/17512433.2020.1699790
- Gebrehiwot, A., Lakew, W., Moges, F., Moges, B., Anagaw, B. & Yismaw, G. (2012). *Bacterial profile and drug susceptibility pattern of neonatal sepsis in Gondar University Hospital*, Gondar northwest Ethiopia. *Der Pharmacia Lettre*, 4(6): 1811-1816
- Getabelew, A., Aman, M., Fantaye, E., & Yeheyis, T. (2018). Prevalence of Neonatal Sepsis and Associated Factors among Neonates in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit at Selected Governmental Hospitals in Shashemene Town, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia, 2017. *International Journal of Pediatrics*, 2018, 1-7. doi:10.1155/2018/7801272
- Geyt, J. L., & Hauck, S. (2016). G272 Epidemiological trends of neonatal sepsis in a county referral hospital in central Kenya. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 101(Suppl 1). doi:10.1136/archdischild-2016-310863.264
- Ghafoor, T., Hussain, A., Qureshi, M., Mehmood, T., & Ali, L. (2020). Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of blood culture isolates from patients with suspected neonatal sepsis in a tertiary care hospital in Pakistan. *Sri*

- Lankan Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 10(1), 30. doi: 10.4038/sljid.v10i1.8244
- Gopalakrishna, K., & Hand, T. (2020). Influence of Maternal Milk on the Neonatal Intestinal Microbiome. Retrieved October 14, 2020, from <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/12/3/823>
- Grace, J.-U. A., & Obaro, S. K. (2019). A Systematic Review of Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci in Neonatal Sepsis. *Clinical Microbiology: Open Access*, 08(01). doi: 10.4172/2327-5073.1000326
- Groer MW;Gregory KE;Louis-Jacques A;Thibeau S;Walker WA;. (2015.). The very low birth weight infant microbiome and childhood health. Retrieved September 10, 2020, from <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26663857/>
- Guo, J., Luo, Y., Wu, Y., Lai, W., & Mu, X. (2019). Clinical Characteristic and Pathogen Spectrum of Neonatal Sepsis in Guangzhou City from June 2011 to June 2017. *Medical Science Monitor*, 25, 2296-2304. doi:10.12659/msm.912375
- Gupta, D. P. (2019). Relationship of chorioamnionitis with neonatal sepsis in preterm premature rupture of membranes- A Study. *Journal of Medical Science And Clinical Research*, 7(11). doi:10.18535/jmscr/v7i11.168
- Howson, E.P., Kinney, M.V. & Lawn, J.E. (2014). *Born too soon: the global action report on preterm birth*. Geneva: WHO.Int. J. Infect. Dis., 8 (2004), pp. 39-45
- Hussein, E. F. (2016). Isolation, Identification and Antibiotic Susceptibility of pathogenic Bacteria Isolated from Clinical Samples. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences*, 11(04), 27-39. doi:10.9790/3008-1104022739
- Karen Reiner. (2010). *Catalase test protocol*. November 2010. Category Protocol.Kenya
- Le, K. Y., Park, M. D., & Otto, M. (2018). Immune Evasion Mechanisms of Staphylococcus epidermidis Biofilm Infection. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00359
- Leber, A. L. (2016). *Clinical microbiology procedures handbook*. Washington, DC: ASM Press.
- Lutsar, I., Chazallon, C., Carducci, F. I., Trafojer, U., Abdelkader, B., Cabre, V. M., . . . Aboulker, J. (2014). Current management of late onset neonatal bacterial sepsis in five European countries. *European Journal of Pediatrics*. doi:10.1007/s00431-014-2279-5
- Masanja, P. P., Kibusi, S. M., & Mkhoyi, M. L. (2019). Predictors of Early Onset Neonatal Sepsis among Neonates in Dodoma, Tanzania: A Case Control Study. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics*, 66(3), 257-266. doi:10.1093/tropej/fmz062
- Medlock, S., Ravelli, A.C.J. & Tamminga P. (2014). *Prediction of mortality in very premature infants: a systematic review of prediction models*. Plos One. 2011 [citado in 2014 jul. 07];6(9): e23441.doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0023441
- Mugadza, G., Zvinavashe, M., Gumbo, F. Z., & Pedersen, B. S. (2017). Early breastfeeding initiation and incidence of neonatal sepsis in Chipinge District Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics*, 5(1), 1. doi: 10.18203/2349-3291.ijcp20175564
- Murthy, S., Godinho, M. A., Guddattu, V., Lewis, L. E., & Nair, N. S. (2019). Risk factors of neonatal sepsis in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Plos One*, 14(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0215683
- Muturi, C. (2018). Prevalence of sepsis among neonates admitted to Kisii level 5 hospital. Retrieved October 12, 2020, from <http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/95125>
- Nathan S Gollehon, M. (2019). Neonatal Sepsis. Retrieved October 12, 2020, from <https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/978352-overview>
- Nunes AR, KLee, TO'Riordan. 2016, *Global health*. gh. Bmj. Com
- Nyma, Z., Rahman, M., Hasan, S. M., Roby, N. U., Khanam, F., Alam, M. E., & Ali, M. (2020). Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors of Sepsis among Neonates Admitted into Neonatal Intensive Care Units of Public Hospitals in Dhaka. *Cureus*. doi:10.7759/cureus.7461
- Okube, O. T., & Komen, M. (2020). Prevalence and Predictors of Neonatal Sepsis among Neonates Admitted at the Newborn Unit of Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya. *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 10(09), 1216-1232. doi:10.4236/ojog.2020.1090114
- Schrag, S. J., Cutland, C. L., Zell, E. R., Kuwanda, L., Buchmann, E. J., Velaphi, S. C., ... Madhi, S. A. (2012). Risk Factors for Neonatal Sepsis and Perinatal Death Among Infants Enrolled in the Prevention of Perinatal Sepsis Trial, Soweto, South Africa. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 31(8), 821-826. doi: 10.1097/inf.0b013e31825c4b5a
- Seale, A.C., Blencowe, H., Manu, A.A., Nair, H., Bahl, R., Qazi, S.A. & Lawn, J.E. (2014). *Estimates of possible severe bacterial infection in neonates in sub-Saharan Africa, south Asia, and Latin America for 2012: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. Lancet Infect Dis. 14(8):731-741. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70804-7.
- Seng, R., Kittit, T., Thummeepak, R., Kongthai, P., Leungtongkam, U., Wannalerdsakun, S., & Sitthisak, S. (2017). Biofilm formation of methicillin-resistant coagulase negative staphylococci (MR-CoNS) isolated from community and hospital environments. *Plos One*, 12(8). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0184172

- Shane, A. L., Sánchez, P. J., & Stoll, B. J. (2017). Neonatal sepsis. *The Lancet*, 390(10104), 1770-1780. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(17)31002-4
- Sheikh, A. F., Dezfuli, A. A., Navidifar, T., Fard, S. S., & Dehdashtian, M. (2019). Association between biofilm formation, structure and antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolated from neonatal septicemia in southwest Iran. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, Volume 12, 1771-1782. doi:10.2147/idr.s204432
- Siakwa, M., Kpikpitse, M., upepi, D. & Mohamed, S.S. (2014). *Neonatal sepsis in rural Ghana: A case control study of risk factors in a birth cohort*. IJRMHS & K.A.J. September; 4(5) 77-88.
- Sorsa, A. (2019, May). Epidemiology of Neonatal Sepsis and Associated Factors Implicated: Observational Study at Neonatal Intensive Care Unit of Arsi University Teaching and Referral Hospital, South East Ethiopia. Retrieved September 11, 2020, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6689722/>
- Spearman, P.W., Andres, Camacho-Gonzalez, & Barbara, J. Stoll. (2015). *Neonatal Infectious Diseases: Evaluation of Neonatal Sepsis*. PMC 2015 Apr. 22. 2015
- Stoll BJ;Hansen NI;Bell EF;Shankaran S;Laptook AR;Walsh MC;Hale EC;Newman NS;Schibler... (2010.). Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Retrieved September 11, 2020, from <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20732945/>
- United Nations International Children's Fund (UNICEF) (2018) The Neonatal Period Is the Most Vulnerable Time for a Child.
- Wayne, P.A. (2007). *Principles and procedures for Blood culture. Approved Guideline*. CLSI document M47-A. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). 2007.