

## Multi-media teacher training and HIV-related stigma among primary and secondary school teachers in Western Kenya

Winstone Nyandiko, Ashley Chory, Aaron Baum, Josephine Aluoch, Celestine Ashimosi, Michael Scanlon, Roxanne Martin, Juddy Wachira, Whitney Beigon, Dennis Munyoro, Edith Apondi & Rachel Vreeman

To cite this article: Winstone Nyandiko, Ashley Chory, Aaron Baum, Josephine Aluoch, Celestine Ashimosi, Michael Scanlon, Roxanne Martin, Juddy Wachira, Whitney Beigon, Dennis Munyoro, Edith Apondi & Rachel Vreeman (2022): Multi-media teacher training and HIV-related stigma among primary and secondary school teachers in Western Kenya, *AIDS Care*, DOI: [10.1080/09540121.2022.2119473](https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2022.2119473)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2022.2119473>



© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



[View supplementary material](#)



Published online: 05 Sep 2022.



[Submit your article to this journal](#)



Article views: 100



[View related articles](#)



[View Crossmark data](#)

## Multi-media teacher training and HIV-related stigma among primary and secondary school teachers in Western Kenya

Winstone Nyandiko<sup>a,b</sup>, Ashley Chory<sup>a,c</sup>, Aaron Baum<sup>c</sup>, Josephine Aluoch<sup>a</sup>, Celestine Ashimosi<sup>a</sup>, Michael Scanlon<sup>a,d</sup>, Roxanne Martin<sup>c</sup>, Juddy Wachira<sup>a,e</sup>, Whitney Beigon<sup>a</sup>, Dennis Munyoro<sup>a</sup>, Edith Apondi<sup>ib a,f</sup> and Rachel Vreeman<sup>ib a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare (AMPATH), Eldoret, Kenya; <sup>b</sup>Department of Child Health and Paediatrics, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya; <sup>c</sup>Arnhold Institute for Global Health, Department of Global Health and Health Systems Design, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA; <sup>d</sup>Indiana University Center for Global Health, Indianapolis, IN, USA; <sup>e</sup>Department of Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya; <sup>f</sup>Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital, Eldoret, Kenya

### ABSTRACT

HIV stigma is associated with delayed HIV disclosure and worse clinical outcomes for adolescents living with HIV (ALWH). Teachers critically influence school environments, but are understudied in terms of HIV stigma. We implemented a school-level, cluster-randomized trial to assess the impact of a one-day multi-media training on the knowledge, attitudes and beliefs (K/A/B) of school teachers in western Kenya. Teachers' K/A/B were evaluated at baseline and six months. Additionally, we assessed stigma with ALWH enrolled in the included schools to explore the impact of the training. Teachers (N = 311) and ALWH (N = 19) were enrolled from 10 primary and 10 secondary schools. The intervention and control groups did not significantly differ in overall stigma score (mean 1.83 vs. 1.84; adjusted difference, 0.18 [95% CI, -0.082 to 0.045]) at six months; however, we found a trend towards improvement in overall stigma score and a significant difference in the community discrimination sub-scale among secondary school teachers (mean 3.02 vs. 3.19; adjusted difference, -0.166 [95% CI, -0.310 to -0.022]). ALWH reported few experiences of discrimination, but emphasized keeping their HIV status secret (84%). The teacher-training reduced secondary school teacher perceptions of community-level stigma, but did not impact individual attitudes or beliefs.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 7 January 2022  
Accepted 25 August 2022

### KEYWORDS

HIV; adolescents; stigma; teachers; community intervention; school

## Introduction


HIV-related stigma shapes daily life and care management for adolescents living with HIV (ALWH) and is associated with worse adherence and mental health outcomes and delayed HIV disclosure – which hinder retention in care and viral suppression (National Aids and STI Control Programme (NASCOP), 2014; Slo-grove et al., 2017; Viner et al., 2012; Vreeman et al., 2010, 2015, 2017). Adolescents spend much of their time in schools, making it an important and understudied sphere for HIV stigma. ALWH describe experiencing taunting, gossiping or bullying related to their own or a family member's HIV status, and stigmatizing content shared by teachers (Baggaley et al., 1999; Chase, 2001; Munyati, 2006; NASCOP, 2014). Developmentally, peer influence, acceptance and in-group identity are critical to the development of self-worth and mental

health; stigma poses a significant threat to forming peer groups, perpetuating cycles of isolation and poor health outcomes (Callen et al., 2021).

Teachers are role models in the classroom, school and community, and their attitudes and behavior toward people living with HIV (PLWH) may have psychosocial and treatment ramifications. ALWH report experiencing HIV stigma from teachers directly and indirectly from components of the HIV curriculum that are stigmatizing or contain false information (Eisenberg et al., 1997; Vreeman et al., 2015). This stigmatizing content and negative teacher attitudes shape the beliefs of peers as well (Mahajan et al., 2008; Nyarondia et al., 2014).

Despite reported HIV stigma experiences in the classroom, there are few data on teacher-targeted interventions (Stangl et al., 2013). A systematic review of

**CONTACT** Rachel Vreeman  rachel.vreeman@mssm.edu  Arnhold Institute for Global Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1216 Fifth Avenue, Fifth Floor, Room 556, New York, NY, USA

 Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2022.2119473>.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

stigma reduction interventions targeting teachers described a gap in the literature (Martin et al., 2022), identifying only two relevant studies (Chao et al., 2010; Norr et al., 2007). The impact of HIV stigma reduction interventions on HIV-related health outcomes has also been overlooked. In a systematic review of 48 interventions to reduce HIV-stigma and discrimination, none measured the impact on health outcomes (Stangl et al., 2013).

Altering teachers' knowledge, attitudes and beliefs (K/A/B) about HIV could reduce stigmatizing content within their teaching, classrooms and schools, improving the environment for ALWH. We used a cluster-randomized trial to assess whether a locally developed, multi-media teacher-training intervention altered negative HIV-related K/A/B and HIV stigma among primary and secondary school teachers in western Kenya.

## Materials and methods

### Study setting

This work was done through the AMPATH program, a partnership between a consortium of North American academic medical centers, Moi University, Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital, and the Kenyan Ministry of Health. AMPATH's clinical program provides free, comprehensive care for over 160,000 PLWH across western Kenya (AMPATH, 2020). This study took place within the Turbo sub-county of Uasin Gishu county in western Kenya, that includes 140 primary and 22 secondary schools between October 2019 and November 2020.

### Study design

We implemented a school-level, cluster-randomized trial to assess the impact of a one-day multi-media training on the K/A/B of primary and secondary school teachers. Teachers from intervention schools underwent HIV stigma-focused training using the Teach HADITHI Module (Chory, Nyandiko, Biegon, et al., 2021). Teachers in the control group had "usual", Ministry of Health-supported teacher training sessions the year prior through the Primary School Action for Better Health program, a school-based intervention that trains teachers on HIV education for use in delivering countrywide HIV syllabi (Nzioka et al., 2007). The intervention group had a pre-post-test evaluation immediately before and after the training, and a follow up at 6 months; control group teachers were evaluated at baseline and 6 months. An exploratory evaluation of HIV stigma-related experiences was conducted with ALWH

in the study schools. Due to COVID-19-related restrictions, 6-month evaluations and the one-time assessment with ALWH participants were conducted by phone.

The "Teach HADITHI Module" adapted existing, evidence-based content for decreasing HIV stigma. The module was developed by the study team in western Kenya; the process for developing the Module has been discussed elsewhere (Chory, Nyandiko, Biegon, et al., 2021). It included lecture presentations, narrative films featuring the stories of ALWH, an educational animation, teacher role-play scenarios, and a question-and-answer session facilitated by a trained ALWH peer educator. All teachers in the intervention schools received the same training, written and facilitated at the primary school language level, regardless of school level.

*Sampling, recruitment, data collection instruments (teachers).* Computerized randomization was used to randomly select 10 intervention (5 primary, 5 secondary) and 10 control schools (5 primary, 5 secondary). All teachers within the school received the same intervention assignment.

We used the Genberg HIV/AIDS Stigma Assessment, a tool validated for use in this setting (Genberg et al., 2008), to evaluate the teachers' K/A/B and perceptions of HIV-related stigma. The assessment includes statements with responses in the form of a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree (scored as 5) to strongly disagree (scored as 1) and is broken down into three scales: shame/blame/social isolation, discrimination, and equity. Higher scores on the scale mean greater endorsement of stigmatizing beliefs.

*Exploratory sample (students).* To explore the impact of the teacher training on students living with HIV, we recruited ALWH enrolled in the AMPATH program and in the control or intervention study schools. Disclosure screening, to determine whether the ALWH were aware of their own HIV status, was conducted with caregivers of participants under the age of 18. We only enrolled those knowing their HIV status. Eligible ALWH were recruited through their AMPATH HIV clinic, and schools were not informed about the youth enrollees. The ALWH participants completed a one-time assessment, coinciding with the teachers' 6-month follow-up.

The assessments included open-ended questions regarding their experiences in school, as well as the *Stigma in AIDS Family Inventory* (SAFI) Questionnaire (Vreeman et al., 2019). The SAFI questionnaire asks about perceived, experienced, or internalized stigma and discrimination that an individual experiences because of their own or their family member's HIV status, and was developed and validated for use with Kenyan ALWH and their caregivers (Vreeman et al., 2019).

## Outcomes and covariates

Our primary endpoint was teachers' overall score on the Genberg HIV/AIDS Stigma Assessment at six months. Secondary endpoints included stigma subscales that capture three aspects of stigma: shame/blame/social isolation, discrimination and equity. Covariates were collected at baseline and included teacher sex, age, years of teaching experience, school type (primary or secondary), and school name.

## Statistical analysis

We compared means of participants' characteristics and baseline outcomes by intervention assignment using two-sided *t*-tests. The primary analysis was a mixed-effects linear model with dependent variable the primary endpoint (or secondary endpoint). Independent variables included participants' treatment assignment, participants' baseline value of the dependent variable, and a random effect for participants' school to account for within-school clustering. Standard errors were clustered at the school-level. In secondary analyses, we stratified participants by primary vs. secondary school teachers. Two-sided *p*-values were calculated and hypotheses were assessed by testing whether the 95% confidence interval of the regression coefficient on the treatment indicator overlapped with zero. Descriptive analyses were conducted to summarize the stigma experiences of adolescent participants. All analyses were conducted in Stata, version 16 software (StataCorp, 2019).

## Ethical approvals

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, U.S.A., and the Institutional Research and Ethics Committee of Moi University and Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital in Eldoret, Kenya. Additional approval was received by the Kenya National Commission for Science, Technology, and Innovation. Participants provided written informed consent at study enrollment and provided an additional, phone-based consent to complete the 6-month assessments over the phone.

## Results

### Participant demographics

Among 312 teacher participants at ten primary and ten secondary schools (56.09% female, mean age 36.41 years, 64.10% secondary school, mean 10.78 years

teaching experience), 311 completed the trial (Table 1). Nineteen HIV-disclosed ALWH, were enrolled in the exploratory assessment (57.89% female, mean age 16.37 years, range 12–18 years, 47% orphan).

Findings were analyzed by the entire teacher cohort, then broken down by primary vs. secondary school teachers. Among all teachers, there were no statistically significant differences in gender, age, years of experience, or overall stigma score between the treatment groups. Primary school teachers in the treatment group were significantly older (42.0 vs. 32.3 years), with more teaching experience (16.0 vs. 7.9 years). There were no statistically significant differences among secondary school teachers.

### Primary and secondary endpoints

Data was broken down by overall and sub-scale score (Table 2). The longitudinal stigma scores among teachers in the intervention group are reported in supplementary Table 1. The intervention and control groups did not significantly differ in overall score (mean 1.83 vs. 1.84; adjusted difference, 0.18 [95% CI, -0.082 to 0.045]) or sub-scale scores at six-months, although there was a trend toward an improvement in the discrimination sub-scale. In analyses of primary school teachers, intervention and control groups did not significantly differ in overall score or sub-scale scores at six-months (Table 2). In analyses of secondary school teachers, there was a significant difference in discrimination sub-scale score (mean 3.02 vs. 3.19; adjusted difference, -0.166 [95% CI, -0.310 to -0.022]). The intervention and control secondary school teachers did not significantly differ in overall score or sub-scale 3 score (equity); however, there was a trend towards improvement in this sub-scale and in overall scores (mean 1.86 vs. 1.9; adjusted difference, -0.053 [95% CI, -0.108 to 0.002]).

### Student assessments

Participants reported few experiences of discrimination, though some participants did report their HIV status made them feel anxious (16%) or depressed (26%) (Table 3). Approximately half (53%) of participants responded that no one thinks HIV is shameful or a dirty disease. Despite reporting little enacted stigma, the majority of participants reported that they (84%) and their caregivers (74%) thought it was important to keep their HIV status a secret. Nearly half (47%) of participants reported delaying or missing medicines out of fear that someone would see them. No statistically

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of teachers, by treatment assignment.

	Control group			Treatment group			Unadjusted difference	95% Confidence interval <sup>b</sup>
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD		
<i>All teachers</i>								
Female sex <sup>a</sup>	128	0.53	0.50	184	0.58	0.49	0.05	−0.08 to 0.18
Age (yrs.)	128	35.23	9.81	184	37.24	10.29	2.01	−2.23 to 6.26
How long have you been a teacher? (yrs.)	128	9.38	9.35	184	11.75	10.16	2.38	−1.44 to 6.19
Overall Stigma Score	128	1.96	0.32	184	1.97	0.31	0.017	−0.071 to 0.105
<i>Primary school teachers</i>								
Female sex <sup>a</sup>	50	0.54	0.50	62	0.68	0.47	0.137	−0.09 to 0.36
Age (yrs.)	50	38.82	9.49	62	44.60	9.22	5.777	2.10 to 9.46
How long have you been a teacher? (yrs.)	50	12.20	9.96	62	18.98	10.29	6.784	2.61 to 10.96
Overall Stigma Score	50	1.94	0.32	62	1.94	0.33	−0.007	−0.124 to 0.111
<i>Secondary school teachers</i>								
Female sex <sup>a</sup>	78	0.53	0.50	122	0.53	0.50	0.007	−0.14 to 0.15
Age (yrs.)	78	32.92	9.37	122	33.50	8.69	0.577	−3.07 to 4.22
How long have you been a teacher? (yrs.)	78	7.56	8.52	122	8.07	7.90	0.510	−2.14 to 3.16
Overall Stigma Score	78	1.97	0.32	122	1.99	0.30	0.027	−0.104 to 0.158

<sup>a</sup>Male = 0; Female = 1.

<sup>b</sup>Standard errors clustered at the school-level.

significant differences were observed between students from the control and intervention schools.

Participants were asked open-ended questions regarding their HIV-related experiences in the school setting. All participants ( $N = 19$ ) reported feeling comfortable in school, but 37% attributed this to having not disclosed their HIV status. Some participants reported hearing incorrect HIV information taught, including teachers saying that HIV kills, that ARVs can cure HIV, and that the only route of transmission is through sex. One participant described how false statements about sex being the only route of transmission created stigma for those who were perinatally infected. All participants reported that, during that school term, they had not witnessed HIV stigma in the classroom; however, many indicated that people do not disclose their HIV status, minimizing stigmatizing behavior. The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in long-term school closures in Kenya during the study period, so adolescents had not attended school and

not interacted with teachers in several months when surveyed. The schools closed on March 15, 2020 and partially reopened on October 19, 2020 for primary school students to complete exams. Schools fully reopened to all students on January 4, 2021.

Adolescent participants reported challenges attending clinic appointments during school days, many preferred to miss all or a portion of the school day or going during holidays to avoid asking teachers for permission. Some participants either do not indicate why they are missing class, or give a different clinical reason for seeing the doctor. A minority of students had disclosed their HIV status to school staff, but those who did, reported no challenges with attending clinic appointments during school hours.

## Discussion

While this pilot trial demonstrated limited evidence for impact, the results suggest potential for altering

**Table 2.** Six-month Stigma Scores, by treatment assignment.

Outcome	Control group			Treatment group			Treatment effect <sup>a</sup>	95% Confidence interval <sup>b</sup>
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD		
<i>All teachers</i>								
Overall Stigma Score	128	1.84	0.33	183	1.83	0.29	−0.018	−0.082 to 0.045
Subscale 1: Shame/Blame/Social Isolation	128	1.13	0.19	183	1.11	0.17	−0.031	−0.064 to 0.003
Subscale 2: Discrimination	128	3.03	0.82	183	2.94	0.77	−0.021	−0.201 to 0.158
Subscale 3: Equity	128	1.17	0.28	183	1.20	0.28	0.009	−0.065 to 0.082
<i>Primary school teachers</i>								
Overall Stigma Score	50	1.76	0.37	62	1.78	0.32	0.030	−0.024 to 0.085
Subscale 1: Shame/Blame/Social Isolation	50	1.14	0.20	62	1.13	0.16	−0.040	−0.097 to 0.016
Subscale 2: Discrimination	50	2.78	0.96	62	2.79	0.87	0.167	−0.049 to 0.383
Subscale 3: Equity	50	1.15	0.25	62	1.20	0.28	0.022	−0.085 to 0.128
<i>Secondary school teachers</i>								
Overall Stigma Score	78	1.90	0.29	121	1.86	0.28	−0.053	−0.108 to 0.002
Subscale 1: Shame/Blame/Social Isolation	78	1.12	0.18	121	1.10	0.17	−0.026	−0.068 to 0.016
Subscale 2: Discrimination	78	3.19	0.68	121	3.02	0.70	−0.166	−0.310 to −0.022*
Subscale 3: Equity	78	1.18	0.30	121	1.20	0.29	−0.002	−0.106 to 0.102

<sup>a</sup>Treatment effect estimated using a linear mixed model with adjustment for treatment group, baseline value of outcome, and school random effect.

<sup>b</sup>Standard errors clustered at the school-level.



**Table 3.** Student stigma scores.

SAFI questionnaire statement	ALWH cohort N (%)
Because I have HIV or because someone else in my family has HIV, I have lost friends	0
Because I have HIV or because someone else in my family has HIV, I have been called names, insulted, or bullied	0
I have experienced discrimination at home	0
I have experienced discrimination in neighborhood	1 (5%)
I have experienced discrimination in religious place	0
I have experienced discrimination at the clinic	0
I have experienced discrimination in school	0
I have experienced discrimination at another place	0
Lost financial support due to HIV	0
Lost social support due to HIV	0
Discrimination has made me feel stress or anxious	3 (16%)
Discrimination has made me feel depressed, feeling down, saddened	5 (26%)
I choose not to play with others or go certain places	3 (16%)
Feel it is important to keep HIV status secret from other people	16 (84%)
My caregiver feels it is important to keep my HIV status secret from other people	14 (74%)
How I feel about the future or my hopes about my life have changed in a negative way	4 (21%)
I have delayed or missed taking medicine because other people might see them	9 (47%)
People in my community think that HIV is a "dirty" or "shameful" disease	
No one thinks that	10 (53%)
A few people think that	7 (37%)
Most people think that	2 (11%)

individual level teacher HIV-related stigma and discrimination in an effort to minimize adverse community level experiences. We found a trend towards improvement in overall stigma score, and a significant difference in the community discrimination sub-scale among secondary school teachers. The teacher-training reduced secondary school teacher perceptions of community-level stigma, but did not impact individual attitudes or beliefs.

Previous research has found that increases in HIV knowledge (Balfour et al., 2010; Pisal et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2009), skill-building (Bell et al., 2008; Esu-Williams et al., 2004; Neema et al., 2012), and contact with PLWH reduces individual HIV stigma (Markham et al., 2000; Paxton, 2002). Implementing multiple approaches is an effective strategy in HIV-stigma reduction interventions (Stangl et al., 2013). The Teach HADITHI module employed this strategy, as well as principles designed to increase cognitive empathy and empower behavioral change based on social learning theory. While this intervention targeted individual perceptions and beliefs, teachers play a unique role in their communities, potentially shaping school-level and community-level context.

In interpreting our results, the Genberg HIV/AIDS stigma and discrimination scale requires careful consideration, as it assesses the perception of the lived experience of PLWH, in addition to participant

individual opinions. *The first and third subscales focus on personal beliefs in relation to PLWH, as well as their beliefs regarding the origins of stigmatizing attitudes and discrimination practices within their communities.* A higher score on sub-scales 1 and 3 indicate more personal discriminatory beliefs (Genberg et al., 2008). *The second subscale relates to the perceptions about manifestations of stigmatizing attitudes and the amount of discriminatory practices that might occur in their community* (Genberg et al., 2008). A higher score on sub-scale 2 indicates increased perception of discriminatory behavior in the community.

We did not observe statistically significant differences in overall or sub-scale stigma scores between teacher treatment groups. Both treatment groups had low baseline scores on sub-scales 1 and 3, indicating fewer discriminatory beliefs, potentially making it difficult to observe significant changes at follow-up. Teacher participants scored higher on discrimination subscale 2 at baseline than the other sub-scales, indicating an increased perception of discriminatory behavior in the community. These findings are consistent with previous work in sub-Saharan Africa, where participants were more likely to agree with statements about discriminatory practices in their communities than their own personal beliefs (Genberg et al., 2008). We observed a significant decrease in sub-scale 2 among secondary school teachers, indicating that teachers perceived there to be less community stigma after the intervention. This change in perception may have been influenced by training components aiming to dispel common misconceptions related to HIV infection. Previous research has found the highest levels of perceived discrimination in settings with high HIV prevalence (Genberg et al., 2009), an important consideration as discrimination speaks to the manifestation of stigma (Karim et al., 2008; Nachega et al., 2004; Stangl et al., 2013), which may influence care-seeking behaviors for PLWH. The COVID-19 pandemic and associated widespread school closures during the follow-up period may have attenuated the 6-month findings, given that the teachers were no longer teaching at that time.

We found trends towards improvement in overall stigma score and in the sub-scales among secondary school teachers in this study, though they did not reach statistical significance. While a larger sample size may have been needed to reach significance for these findings, we hypothesize that secondary teachers may be primed towards considering HIV differently, perhaps with previous experience and comfort teaching about HIV, as Kenyan primary school curriculum begins HIV topics in class 4, when students are around 10 years old. Teachers who lead classrooms of younger

students therefore do not have the same experience discussing these topics (Kenya Institute for Curriculum Development, 2021). Previous work has found primary school teachers to be less comfortable and less likely to teach and discuss HIV topics (Ayo-Yusuf et al., 2001; Boscarino & DiClemente, 1996; Brook, 1994; Kachingwe et al., 2005; Lohmann et al., 2009). Primary school teachers likely have less experience engaging with disclosed ALWH, as the WHO recommends the disclosure process begin around the age of 10, when children are transitioning to secondary school (World Health Organization, 2011). Our data demonstrates that ALWH prefer not to disclose their HIV status in the school setting, which may also impact teacher comfort in navigating these scenarios. There is limited research on the differences in HIV-preparedness and stigma between primary and secondary school teachers, considerations that may significantly inform the development of stigma reduction interventions in this setting.

This study provides a rare opportunity to examine the impact of a HIV-stigma reduction intervention at the community level. Although a small study sample, we explored the potential for real life implications of this intervention through ALWH students in the classroom of intervention teachers. Despite most students not reporting experiences with stigma in the school setting, the majority indicated that it was important to keep their HIV status a secret. HIV-related secrecy poses significant threats to medication adherence, mental health and the formation of support networks (Chory, Nyandiko, Martin, et al., 2021), reiterating the need for safe and supportive school environments. Interventions that target multiple socio-ecological levels are needed; the school setting is an ideal environment to intervene at both the community and institutional level.

### Limitations

There are a number of limitations in this study. First, the Genberg HIV/AIDS Stigma Assessment was chosen because it had been used and validated in Kenya; a survey with setting-specific questions may have been more likely to uncover the local nuances of HIV stigma (Genberg et al., 2008, 2009). Second, all schools in this sample had an HIV educational curriculum training prior to the intervention, which may have diluted the potential impact of our intervention. Additionally, we did not consider the differences in experience between ALWH enrolled in day school versus boarding school; boarding schools present additional difficulties, specifically around keeping HIV status secret and hiding medication (Chory, Nyandiko, Martin, et al., 2021). Third, due to concerns regarding potential

stigmatization, we did not inquire about teachers' own experience with HIV, which may impact outcomes, as personal contact with PLWH may facilitate empathy, reduce stigmatizing attitudes and beliefs, and protect against HIV-related misinformation (Chan & Tsai, 2017). In addition, COVID-19 pandemic necessitated national lockdowns and school closures in Kenya during the study period, which may have interfered with the utilization of knowledge, skills and attitudes gained in the training. The shift to phone interviews during school closure may have led to greater likelihood of recall bias. Lastly, though student enrollment in this study was intended to be exploratory, the small sample and lack of baseline data limits generalizability to the broader population.

### Conclusion

In this pilot, cluster-randomized trial of a one-day teacher training to influence HIV-related stigmatizing attitudes and beliefs among primary and secondary school teachers in western Kenya, we did not observe significant overall differences between the intervention and control groups. However, this training intervention did change secondary school teacher perceptions of community HIV-related stigma and discrimination, and there were trends pointing to potential impact from this kind of intervention strategy. Teachers and school settings remain a significant context for reducing HIV stigma and potentially improving the psychosocial context in which children and ALWH learn and grow.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the participants for their time and invaluable insights.

### Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

### Funding

This study was funded by an R21 grant (1R21TW011071) to Dr. Rachel Vreeman from the U.S. National Institutes for Health (NIH) Fogarty International Center.

### ORCID

Edith Apondi  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7968-9394>

Rachel Vreeman  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5460-8204>

## References

- AMPATH. (2020). AMPATH Kenya HIV/AIDS. Retrieved February 4 from <https://www.ampathkenya.org/hiv-aids>
- Ayo-Yusuf, I., Naidoo, S., & Chikte, U. M. (2001). The role of primary school teachers in HIV prevention in South Africa. *Sadj*, 56(12), 596–598.
- Baggaley, R., Sulwe, J., Chilala, M., & Mashambe, C. (1999). HIV stress in primary school teachers in Zambia. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 77(3), 284–287. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/267809>
- Balfour, L., Corace, K., Tasca, G. A., Best-Plummer, W., Macpherson, P. A., & Cameron, D. W. (2010). High HIV knowledge relates to low stigma in pharmacists and university health science students in Guyana, South America. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 14(10), e881–e887. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2010.03.021>
- Bell, C. C., Bhana, A., Petersen, I., McKay, M. M., Gibbons, R., Bannon, W., & Amaty, A. (2008). Building protective factors to offset sexually risky behaviors among black youths: A randomized control trial. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, 100(8), 936–944. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0027-9684\(15\)31408-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0027-9684(15)31408-5)
- Boscarino, J. A., & DiClemente, R. J. (1996). AIDS knowledge, teaching comfort, and support for AIDS education among school teachers: A statewide survey. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, 8(3), 267–277.
- Brook, U. (1994). Teachers' attitudes towards AIDS: An explorative study in Israel. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 24(3), 337–340. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0738-3991\(94\)90076-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0738-3991(94)90076-0)
- Callen, G., Chory, A., Sang, F., Munyoro, D., Aluoch, J., Scanlon, M., Enane, L., McHenry, M., Wools-Kaloustian, K., Apondi, E., & Vreeman, R. (2021). A qualitative examination of perceived stigma and its sources among adolescents living with HIV in Western Kenya. Under Review.
- Chan, B. T., & Tsai, A. C. (2017). Personal contact with HIV-positive persons is associated with reduced HIV-related stigma: Cross-sectional analysis of general population surveys from 26 countries in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 20(1), 21395. <https://doi.org/10.7448/ias.20.1.21395>
- Chao, L.-W., Gow, J., Akintola, G., & Pauly, M. (2010). HIV/AIDS stigma attitudes among educators in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Journal of School Health*, 80(11), 561–569. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1746-1561.2010.00542.x>
- Chase, E. (2001). *Stigma and HIV/AIDS and prevention of mother-to-child transmission: A pilot study in Zambia, India, Ukraine and Burkina Faso*. Panos.
- Chory, A., Nyandiko, W., Biegon, W., Aluoch, J., Ashimosi, C., Munyoro, D., Scanlon, M., Apondi, E., & Vreeman, R. (2021). Perspectives of education sector stakeholders on a teacher training module to reduce HIV/AIDS stigma in Western Kenya. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1281), <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11331-5>
- Chory, A., Nyandiko, W., Martin, R., Aluoch, J., Scanlon, M., Ashimosi, C., Njoroge, T., McAteer, C., Apondi, E., & Vreeman, R. (2021). HIV-related knowledge, attitudes, behaviors and experiences of Kenyan adolescents living with HIV revealed in WhatsApp group chats. *Journal of the International Association of Providers of AIDS Care (JIAPAC)*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23259582211999579>
- Eisenberg, N., Fabes, R. A., & Guthrie, I. K. (1997). Coping with stress. *Handbook of children's coping* (pp. 41–70). Springer.
- Esu-Williams, E., Schenk, K. D., Motsepe, J., Geibel, S., & Zulu, A. (2004). *Involving young people in the care and support of people living with HIV/AIDS in Zambia*. Population Council.
- Genberg, B., Hlavka, Z., Konda, K., Maman, S., Chariyalertsak, S., Chingono, A., Mbwambo, J., Modiba, P., Van Rooyen, H., & Celentano, D. (2009). A comparison of HIV/AIDS-related stigma in four countries: Negative attitudes and perceived acts of discrimination towards people living with HIV/AIDS. *Social Science & Medicine*, 68(12), 2279–2287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.04.005>
- Genberg, B., Kawichai, S., Chingono, A., Sendah, M., Chariyalertsak, S., Konda, K., & Celentano, D. (2008). Assessing HIV/AIDS stigma and discrimination in developing countries. *AIDS and Behavior*, 12(5), 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-007-9340-6>
- Kachingwe, S., Norr, K., Kaponda, C., Norr, J., Mbweza, E., & Magai, D. (2005). Preparing teachers as HIV/AIDS prevention leaders in Malawi: Evidence from focus groups. *International Electronic Journal of Health Education*, 8, 193–204.
- Karim, Q. A., Meyer-Weitz, A., Mboyi, L., Carrara, H., Mahlase, G., Frohlich, J. A., & Abdool Karim, S. S. (2008). The influence of AIDS stigma and discrimination and social cohesion on HIV testing and willingness to disclose HIV in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Global Public Health*, 3(4), 351–365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441690802076496>
- Kenya Institute for Curriculum Development. (2021). *Curriculum design*. Retrieved June 1 2021 from <https://kicd.ac.ke/cbc-materials/curriculum-designs/>
- Lohmann, T., Tam, P., Hopman, W. M., & Wobeser, W. (2009). Knowledge of and attitudes towards HIV/AIDS among school teachers in Belize. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 13(5), e228–e235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2008.11.023>
- Mahajan, A. P., Sayles, J. N., Patel, V. A., Remien, R. H., Sawires, S. R., Ortiz, D. J., Szekeres, G., & Coates, T. J. (2008). Stigma in the HIV/AIDS epidemic: A review of the literature and recommendations for the way forward. *AIDS*, 22(Suppl. 2), S67–S79. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.aids.0000327438.13291.62>
- Markham, C., Baumler, E., Richesson, R., Parcel, G., Basen-Engquist, K., Kok, G., & Wilkerson, D. (2000). Impact of HIV-positive speakers in a multicomponent, school-based HIV/STD prevention program for inner-city adolescents. *AIDS Education and Prevention: Official Publication of the International Society for AIDS Education*, 12(5), 442–454.
- Martin, R., Ashimosi, C., Nyandiko, W., Chory, A., Aluoch, J., Scanlon, M., & Vreeman, R. (2022). A systematic review of interventions to reduce HIV-related stigma among primary and secondary school teachers. *AIDS Care*, 34(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2021.1960264>
- Munyati, S. (2006). *A census of orphans and vulnerable children in two Zimbabwean districts*. HSRC Press.
- Nachega, J. B., Stein, D. M., Lehman, D. A., Hlatshwayo, D., Mothopeng, R., Chaisson, R. E., & Karstaedt, A. S.



- (2004). Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected adults in Soweto, South Africa. *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses*, 20(10), 1053–1056. <https://doi.org/10.1089/aid.2004.20.1053>
- National Aids and STI Control Programme (NASCOP). (2014). *Kenya AIDS indicator survey 2012 final report, Nairobi, Kenya*. NASCOP.
- Neema, S., Atuyambe, L. M., Otolok-Tanga, E., Twijukye, C., Kambugu, A., Thayer, L., & McAdam, K. (2012). Using a clinic based creativity initiative to reduce HIV related stigma at the Infectious Diseases Institute, Mulago National Referral Hospital, Uganda. *African Health Sciences*, 12(2), 231–239. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v12i2.24>
- Norr, K. F., Norr, J. L., Kaponda, C. P. N., Kachingwe, S. I., & Mbweza, E. M. D. (2007). Short-term effects of a peer group intervention for HIV prevention among trainee teachers in Malawi. *African Journal of AIDS Research*, 6(3), 239–249. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16085900709490420>
- Nyarondia, S., Ongong'a, J. O., & Omolo, K. (2014). Management in action: Managing the HIV/AIDS curriculum in Kenya.
- Nzioka, C., Korongo, A., Njiru, R., & Unesco, I. (2007). HIV and AIDS in Kenyan teacher colleges: mitigating the impact. [http://lst-iiep.iiep-unesco.org/cgi-bin/wwwi32.exe/\[in=epidocl.in\]/?t2000=024266/\(100\)](http://lst-iiep.iiep-unesco.org/cgi-bin/wwwi32.exe/[in=epidocl.in]/?t2000=024266/(100))
- Paxton, S. (2002). The impact of utilizing HIV-positive speakers in AIDS education. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, 14(4), 282–294. <https://doi.org/10.1521/aeap.14.5.282.23874>
- Pisal, H., Sutar, S., Sastry, J., Kapadia-Kundu, N., Joshi, A., Joshi, M., Leslie, J., Scotti, L., Bharucha, K., Suryavanshi, N., Phadke, M., Bollinger, R., & Shankar, A. V. (2007). Nurses' health education program in India increases HIV knowledge and reduces fear. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*, 18(6), 32–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jana.2007.06.002>
- Slogrove, A. L., Mahy, M., Armstrong, A., & Davies, M.-A. (2017). Living and dying to be counted: What we know about the epidemiology of the global adolescent HIV epidemic. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 20 (Suppl. 3), 21520–21520. <https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.20.4.21520>
- Stangl, A. L., Lloyd, J. K., Brady, L. M., Holland, C. E., & Baral, S. (2013). A systematic review of interventions to reduce HIV-related stigma and discrimination from 2002 to 2013: How far have we come? *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 16(3 Suppl. 2), 18734. <https://doi.org/10.7448/ias.16.3.18734>
- StataCorp. (2019). Stata statistical software: Release 16.
- Viner, R. M., Ozer, E. M., Denny, S., Marmot, M., Resnick, M., Fatusi, A., & Currie, C. (2012). Adolescence and the social determinants of health. *The Lancet*, 379(9826), 1641–1652. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(12\)60149-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(12)60149-4)
- Vreeman, R., McCoy, B., & Lee, S. (2017). Mental health challenges among adolescents living with HIV. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 20(Suppl. 3), 21497. <https://doi.org/10.7448/ias.20.4.21497>
- Vreeman, R., Nyandiko, W., Ayaya, S., Walumbe, E., Marrero, D., & Inui, T. (2010). The perceived impact of disclosure of pediatric HIV status on pediatric antiretroviral therapy adherence, child well-being, and social relationships in a resource-limited setting. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 24 (10), 639–649. <https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2010.0079>
- Vreeman, R., Scanlon, M., Inui, T., McAteer, C., Fischer, L., McHenry, M., Marete, I., & Nyandiko, W. (2015). Why did you not tell me? Perspectives of caregivers and children on the social environment surrounding child HIV disclosure in Kenya. *AIDS*, 29(Suppl. 1), S47–S55. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000000669>
- Vreeman, R., Scanlon, M., Tu, T., Slaven, J., McAteer, C., Aluoch, J., Ayaya, S., & Nyandiko, W. (2019). Validation of an HIV/AIDS stigma measure for children living with HIV and their families. *Journal of the International Association of Providers of AIDS Care (JIAPAC)*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2325958219880570>
- Wang, D., Operario, D., Hong, Q., Zhang, H., & Coates, T. J. (2009). Intervention to train physicians in rural China on HIV/STI knowledge and risk reduction counseling: Preliminary findings. *AIDS Care*, 21(4), 468–472. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540120802290357>
- World Health Organization. (2011). *Guideline on HIV disclosure counselling for children up to 12 years of age*. [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44777/9789241502863\\_eng.pdf;jsessionid=99253058ABFDD883DC0B5B2D67987DEF?sequence=1](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44777/9789241502863_eng.pdf;jsessionid=99253058ABFDD883DC0B5B2D67987DEF?sequence=1)